Full Length Research Article

Advancements in Life Sciences – International Quarterly Journal of Biological Sciences

Open Access

PKP PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE PROJECT

INDEXED IN DOAJ

Detection of multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from raw milk in a dairy farm

Dian Ayu Permatasari[1], Ajeng Rizki Ariati[2], Jola Rahmahani[3], Mustofa Helmi Effendi[1]*, Wiwiek Tyasningsih[3], Aswin Rafif Khairullah[4], Agumah Nnabuife Bernard[5]

Author's Affiliation:
1. Division of Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Dr. Ir. H. Soekarno, Kampus C Mulyorejo, Surabaya 60115, East Java – Indonesia
2. Undergraduate student in the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Airlangga,Jl. Dr. Ir. H. Soekarno, Kampus C Mulyorejo, Surabaya 60115, East Java –Indonesia
3. Division of Veterinary Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Dr. Ir. H. Soekarno, Kampus C Mulyorejo, Surabaya 60115, East Java – Indonesia
4. Doctoral program on Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Dr. Ir. H. Soekarno, Kampus C Mulyorejo, Surabaya 60115, East Java –Indonesia
5. Department of Applied Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki – Nigeria

*Corresponding Author:
Mustofa Helmi Effendi
Email:
mustofa-h-e@fkh.unair.ac.id

Editorial Note:
You are viewing latest version of this article having post-publication corrections in table 1 and table 2.

Abstract

Background: The presence of microorganisms in milk cannot be totally prevented, making it a crucial factor in determining its quality. *Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the germs that can be detected in milk and other dairy products. Many *S. aureus* related foodborne disease outbreaks have been reported to be caused by multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains.

Methods: In the Indonesian province of East Java, a total of 112 raw milk samples were taken from various dairy farms. 10 ml of milk was collected from each cow using a sterile bottle. The Milk samples were transported to the laboratory under a cold chain and analyzed using standard microbiological procedures. Evaluation of *S. aureus* isolates for antibiotic susceptibility using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method.

Results: Findings from this study showed that 100 (89.2%) out of 112 samples of raw milk taken from dairy farms in 2 regions located in Pasuruan and Lumajang yielded positive for *S. aureus*. The antibiotic sensitivity profile showed that Cefoxitin was the most effective with 2% resistance. The highest resistance recorded was against Ampicillin (83%). Resistance recorded against other antibiotics include Tetracycline (40%), Erythromycin (16%), and Amoxicillin (12%). Multidrug resistance (MDR) was recorded for *S. aureus* isolates from 10 samples of raw milk.

Conclusion: The study's conclusions highlight the risk of milk contamination and the potential for *S. aureus* to develop multidrug resistance, both of which are harmful to human health. It is strongly recommended that strict hygiene practices be maintained and improved in dairy farms.

Introduction

Milk of animal species contains many essential nutritional supplements such as carbohydrates, proteins, fats, vitamins and minerals that have beneficial effects on human life [1]. As consumers grow more drawn to microprocessed goods, the usage of raw milk is progressively rising. Nonetheless, zoonotic infections may spread through cow's milk [2]. It is established that a variety of foodborne bacteria can damage raw milk derived from animal sources [3–5].

Microbial contamination of milk is an important quality measurement, as it cannot be completely avoided. Several microorganisms are found in milk and other dairy products, one of which is *Staphylococcus aureus* [6]. There is a consistent correlation between the frequency of antibiotic resistance and foodborne *S. aureus* [7]. *S. aureus* is the most major bacterial pathogen of recent years and has caught the attention of international public health initiatives as the third most common cause of food poisoning worldwide [8]. *S. aureus* is a Gram-positive, facultative anaerobic, immobile, and ubiquitous bacterium in nature. These microorganisms naturally inhabit the skin and nasal cavities of warm-blooded animals and are considered to be the main cause of several health-related infections (including endocarditis, soft tissue abscesses and skin infections, osteomyelitis, and bacteraemia) [9].

Life-threatening infections in animals and humans can also be caused by *S. aureus.* In animals, these bacteria cause abscesses, mastitis, urinary tract infections, and dermatitis [10–12]. Dairy farmers suffer financial losses when their cows get mastitis due to the pathogenic bacteria *S. aureus* [13]. When dairy cows are milked, dairy products may become contaminated and enter the food chain. The mammary gland serves as the primary infection reservoir [14]. Serious health hazards for humans can occur when consuming contaminated milk [15]. The fact that it is connected to nosocomial infections in people serves as further evidence for this [16]. Mastitis is still treated with antibiotics, and the use of antibiotics can cause *S. aureus* to become resistant to antibiotics [17]. On the other hand, treatment failure comes with significant financial and health consequences, and antibiotic resistance is an increasing issue [18].

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains offer an efficient means of studying antimicrobial resistance in *S. aureus*, a significant global health issue [19]. MDR strains present significant hurdles, particularly in the twenty-first century [20,21]. Given the danger that MDR bacteria pose, it is imperative to control the threat and stop it from spreading [22]. Antimicrobial therapy is a crucial tactic for reducing human infection and mastitis [23]. Antibiotic-resistant *S. aureus* is becoming more common in animals and foods obtained from them as a result of the extensive use of antibiotics in the production of animal meals [24,25].

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is one of the MDR strains of *S. aureus* that have been linked to numerous outbreaks of foodborne illness [26–28]. The development of MDR strains as a result of human overuse of antibiotics presents challenges for the treatment of *S. aureus* infections, including MRSA in veterinary medicine [29–31]. Bacteria classified as MDR are isolates that exhibit resistance to at least one of three or more classes of antibiotics [32]. The majority of antimicrobials used in veterinary medicine are also used to treat infections in humans. For instance, *S. aureus* infections in humans and animals have been managed with the use of lincosamides, tetracyclines, beta-lactams, fluoroquinolones, streptomycins, rifamycins, sulfonamides, aminoglycosides, and macrolides [18]. The objective of this study is to identify raw milk from dairy farms in Pasuruan and Lumajang, East Java, Indonesia, that contains MDR *S. aureus*.

Methods

Sample collection

This The Pasuruan and Lumajang Districts of East Java Province, Indonesia, were the locations of 112 raw milk samples that were taken during February and March of 2022. Fifty-two raw milk samples were taken from Pasuruan District and sixty raw milk samples were taken from Lumajang District. The number of cows in the two areas suspected of having mastitis determined the quantity of samples collected. Samples were collected during the morning milking time. Ten millilitres of samples were taken from each cow. Sampling was carried out by collecting the milk into sterile bottles. Samples were delivered to the laboratory using an ice box.

Bacteria isolation and identification

The isolation of *S. aureus* was carried out through enrichment in buffered peptone water; 1 ml of each sample was taken aseptically using a pipette and placed in a 10 ml test tube after which it was filled with 9 ml of Buffered Peptone Water. Test tubes containing the samples were subjected to incubation at 37°C for 24 hours [25]. Samples were streaked on Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) (HiMediaPvt. Ltd., M118). Gram staining was performed for suspected yellow colonies [33] and examined microscopically. Gram-positive bacteria are cocci-shaped and clustered. Biochemical tests performed include catalase test and coagulase test. After incubating at 37°C for 24 hours, 200 µl of rabbit plasma was dispensed into a coagulase tube containing bacterial colonies to perform the coagulase test [34]. The reaction is positive if the clot does not move when

the tube is tilted (Figure 1). A catalase test was performed by adding 3% hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) to bacterial colonies on glass slides. The appearance of air bubbles indicated the presence of *S. aureus* (Figure 2).


Figure 1: The coagulase test on *S. aureus* shows a positive reaction and looks cloudy.

Figure 2: The appearance of air bubbles indicated the presence of *S. aureus*

Antibiotic sensitivity test

Mueller-Hinton agar was used to evaluate *S. aureus* isolates for antibiotic susceptibility using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. The test was conducted refer to the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute [35]. The isolate that was identified and purified on Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA) media (HiMedia Pvt. Ltd., M118) (Figure 3) and isolates was standardized by diluting to 0.5 McFarland's standard. Then wipe using a sterile cotton swab on the surface of Muller Hinton Agar (MHA) plates. The disc contains an antibiotic of known concentration (Oxoid, UK) antibiotics Cefoxitin (30 µg), Tetracycline (30 µg), Erythromycin (15 µg), Ampicillin (25 µg), Amoxicillin (20 µg) applied on Muller Hinton Agar (MHA) plates (HiMedia, M173) using a sterile tweezer and 24 hours incubation at 37°C. The measurement of the diameter of the inhibition zone was used as an interpretation result (Figure 4).

Results

Findings from this study show that 100 samples (89.2%) of the 112 samples of raw milk collected from dairy farms in 2 districts (Pasuruan and Lumajang) of East Java, Indonesia were positive for *S. aureus*. In Dairy farms from Lumajang, 60 samples were collected and 52 samples (86.6%) were positive for *S. aureus* and from Pasuruan 52 samples were taken and 48 samples (92,3%) were positive for *S. aureus.*


Figure 3: *S. aureus* isolates from raw milk on mannitol salt agar (MSA) shows golden-yellow pigment colonies of mannitol fermentation

Antibiotic Sensitivity Test

Antibiotic sensitivity test results for 100 isolates of *S. aureus* showed that 2 samples (2%) were resistant to Cefoxitin (30µg) and 98 samples (98%) were sensitive. Tetracycline (30 µg) presented with 40 samples (40%) resistance, intermediate were 4 samples (4%), and sensitivity of 56 samples (56%). Erythromycin (15 µg) presented with 16 samples (16%) resistance, intermediate 19 samples (19%), sensitivity of 65 samples (65%). Amoxicillin (25 µg) was resistant by 12 samples (12%), intermediate 14 samples (14%) and sensitive in 74 samples (74%). Antibiotic Ampicillin (20 µg) presented with 83 samples (83%) resistance and 17 samples (17%) sensitive. Data from the results of the antibiotic sensitivity test were analyzed using the chi-square test, as shown in (Table 1). Based on chi-square analysis test, none of the antibiotics showed a statistically significant difference in resistance levels between the two regions.

Antibiotic	Pasuruan			Lumajang			Chi-Square Test
	R	I	S	R	I	S	p-value
Cefoxitin (FOX)	1	0	47	1	0	51	1.000
Tetracycline (TE)	24	2	22	16	2	34	0.073
Erythromycin (E)	8	9	31	8	10	34	1.000
Amoxicillin (AML)	4	7	37	8	7	37	0.447
Ampicillin (SAM)	38	0	10	45	0	7	0.475

Table 1: Comparison of antibiotic resistance profiles and chi-square test result between Pasuruan and Lumajang. Note: R: Resistant; I: Intermediate; S: Sensitive

Location	Sample Code	Antibiotic disk inhibition zone diameter (mm)				
		Cefoxitin (FOX)	Tetracycline (TE)	Erythromycin (E)	Amoxicillin (AML)	Ampicillin (SAM)
Pasuruan	P16	21.64 (S)	19.52 (S)	12.32 (R)	14.52 (I)	19.92 (R)
	P18	29.14 (S)	8.12 (R)	23.14 (S)	12.72 (R)	19.22 (R)
	P38	31.94 (S)	9.44 (R)	21.24 (I)	11.22 (R)	15.44 (R)
Lumajang	L5	29.64 (S)	12.22 (R)	23.12 (S)	12.12 (R)	13.54 (R)
	L13	32.84 (S)	9.14 (R)	13.42 (R)	24.12 (S)	23.92 (R)
	L26	27.34 (S)	12.12 (R)	13.12 (R)	14.32 (I)	17.22 (R)
	L32	28.72 (S)	12.44 (R)	21.74 (I)	13.32 (R)	16.82 (R)
	L41	20.34 (R)	7.84 (R)	6.62 (R)	12.14 (R)	22.82 (R)
	L55	29.14 (S)	10.12 (R)	25.72 (S)	12.42 (R)	10.62 (R)
	L59	25.42 (S)	9.12 (R)	21.82 (I)	10.84 (R)	13.62 (R)

Table 2: Selected MDR profile of *S. aureus* isolated from milk with respect to location. Note: **R**: Resistant; I: Intermediate; S: Sensitive

Profile for multidrug resistance in *S. aureus* was summarized in (Table 2). The result showed that Staphylococcus isolates from 10 milk samples exhibited MDR traits, especially against more than 3 groups of antibiotics. The resistance profiles for Cefoxitin (20%),

Tetracycline (90%), Erythromycin (40%), Amoxicillin (70%) and Ampicillin (100%) were noted (Table 2).


Figure 4: Antibiotic sensitivity test of *S. aureus* on Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method was used for the measurement of the diameter of the inhibition zone.

Discussion

S. aureus is an important cause of animal and human diseases [36]. Colonization on dairy cows and contamination of raw milk with pathogenic *S. aureus* remains a significant problem for both dairy farmers and public health. The disease-causing potential of *S. aureus* stems from its ability to be very virulent after invasion [37]. The bovine mastitis problem results in a sharp decline in milk output, enormous financial losses for the dairy industry worldwide, as well as the loss of future calves, discarded milk, animal replacement, reduced milk production, and decreasing production on a quarter-by-quarter basis [38].

S. aureus milk contamination is usually associated with bovine mastitis or human carriers and can lead to contamination of the final products if proper food handling and animal husbandry practices are not used [39]. Strict precautions are required to keep away from infection of uncooked milk with *S. aureus*. On dairy farms, dairymen should be trained on how to reduce contamination (mastitis) from the raw milk environment and from the animals themselves. For example, milking can be done by a method that prevents contamination from udder and teat. In addition, it is very important to avoid temperature fluctuations via a well-controlled system in the milk supply chain on the cold chain. Competent authorities should impose strict control on surveillance [40].

In this study, out of 112 samples, 100 (89.2%) were contaminated with *S. aureus*. A higher percentage was shown in this study, compared to the research by Papadopoulos *et al.* [10] in which *S. aureus* was detected in 34 out of the 40 (85.0%) dairy farms. Another study by Javed *et al.* [41] showed that 37.14% (143/385) of *S. aureus* was isolated from bovine milk. Potential risk variables were taken into consideration in relation to the higher incidence of mastitis brought on by poor cleanliness during milking. Due to unclean udders and feet brought on by inadequate sewage systems in dairy farms, mastitis is highly likely to occur if basic hygiene precautions are not taken during milking. Unclean hands during the milking process have the ability to transmit pathogenic organisms that cause mastitis [42].

This investigation found that 10 (10%) of the several *S. aureus* isolates were MDR. The frequency of MDR *S. aureus* isolates in this study is in line with earlier research that demonstrated a significant percentage of MDR *S. aureus* isolates from animals. Raw milk and milk products have been found to contain MDR isolates. The study expressed the opinion that the existence of *S. aureus* isolates resistant to antibiotics in milk and dairy products, as well as the spread of the bacteria through contaminated food, could potentially pose a threat to public health [43].

Antibiotics are commonly used to treat livestock infections in farm animals caused by *S. aureus*; nevertheless, their usage is linked to the transmission of resistant germs to people through the ingestion of animal proteins [44]. Furthermore, there are substantial selection pressures due to the widespread misuse of antibiotics in all contexts, which has allowed resistant bacteria to survive and persist [45]. This presents a problem for dairy producers, veterinarians, and medical experts since it has a negative impact on how well microbial illnesses respond to therapy [46].

MDR bacterial infections are on the rise and represent a significant public health concern [47]. Isolates that are resistant to at least one medication from three or more antimicrobial classes are referred to as MDR bacteria [48]. The most significant pathogen within the Staphylococcus genus is *S. aureus*. The rise in public health concerns has been attributed to the recent discovery of MDR *S. aureus*, a bacteria that can infect both humans and animals [49]. Studies have shown that one of the most frequent causes of food poisoning globally is *S. aureus* [50]. *S. aureus* can cause infections in humans and animals as well as food poisoning in settings where food is processed [51].

Antibiotic usage has significantly raised the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant *S. aureus* strains and complicated the treatment process [52]. The emergence of antibiotic resistance in microorganisms poses a significant threat to public health since these germs can infect humans when handled incorrectly or when contaminated milk or meat products are consumed [53]. Penicillin was first believed to be effective against a variety of staphylococcal infections, but by the middle of the 1940s, certain *S. aureus* strains started to become resistant to the antibiotic [54]. As penicillin resistance rises, methicillin, a semi-synthetic beta-lactam antibiotic resistant to beta-lactamase enzymes,

emerges and is thought to be effective against penicillin-resistant Sulfurous acid [41].

The study observed widespread use of antibiotics. This correlated with the high resistance of *S. aureus* from milk to penicillins and tetracyclines. The overuse of tetracyclines and penicillins in Kenya and other countries to treat and prevent mastitis and other livestock illnesses is the cause of the high level of resistance of *S. aureus* to these antibiotics [55]. It is possible for *S. aureus* to quickly become resistant to antibiotics. Since *S. aureus* resistance is developed by chromosomal changes and external horizontal gene transfer, the choice of antibiotics is crucial in treating the infection [56]. In the research area, dairy farms frequently utilize ampicillin. The assessment of *S. aureus*'s susceptibility to other beta-lactam antibiotics is based on its resistance to penicillin [57].

The high percentage of multidrug resistance suggests that *S. aureus* MDR is common in the dairy farms under investigation. This growth can have been influenced by numerous reasons. The majority of dairy producers in the area are ignorant of the dangers that *S. aureus* infestation poses to milk production and human health. Since no hygiene precautions were taken before or after milking, *S. aureus* on the udder surface may have made it easier for the bacteria to enter the mammary glands and other body parts [58]. The existence of subclinically infected cows and the disregard for sanitary conditions, such as inadequate milking methods, handling procedures, and storage, contribute to the reported prevalence of *S. aureus* in milk [59–61].

Findings from this study have established prospects for microbial contamination of milk by *S. aureus* and also established the possibility of MDR in dairy farms from East Java. Hence, the hygienic quality of raw milk and dairy products must be continuously monitored and improved. Therefore, the application of Good Manufacturing Practices is essential to ensure the safety of raw milk.

Acknowledgement

Author Contributions
Dian Ayu Permatasari and Mustofa Helmi Effendi: Conceived, designed, and coordinated the study. Ajeng Rizki Ariati: Designed data collections tools, supervised the field sample and data collection, and laboratory work as well as data entry. Jola Rahmahani and Agumah Nnabuife Bernard: Contributed reagents, materials, and analysis tools. Wiwiek Tyasningsih and Aswin Rafif Khairullah: Carried out the statistical analysis and interpretation and participated in the preparation of the manuscript. All authors have read, reviewed, and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest
The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References
1. Peeri Watson RR, Collier RJ, Preedy VR. Nutrients in Dairy and Their Implications for Health and Disease. Elsevier Academic Press, (2017).
2. Kou X, Cai H, Huang S, Ni Y, Luo B, Qian H. Prevalence and characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from retail raw milk in Northern Xianjiang, China. Frontiers in Microbiology, (2021); 2(1): 187.
3. Ranjbar R, Dehkordi FS, Shahreza MHS, Rahimi E. 2018. Prevalence, identification of virulence factors, O-serogroups and antibiotic resistance properties of Shiga-toxin producing *Escherichia coli* bacteria isolated from raw milk and traditional dairy products. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control, (2018); 7(1): 53.
4. Putra ARS, Effendi MH, Koesdarto S, Suwarno S, Tyasningsih W, Estoepangestie AT. Detection of the extended spectrum β-lactamase produced by Escherichia coli from dairy cows by using the Vitek-2 method in Tulungagung regency, Indonesia. Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Sciences, (2020); 34(1): 203–207.
5. Ansharieta R, Ramandinianto SC, Effendi MH, Plumeriastuti H. Molecular identification of *bla*CTX-M and *bla*TEM genes encoding extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from raw cow's milk in East Java Indonesia. Biodiversitas, (2021); 22(4): 1600–1605.
6. Møretrø T, Langsrud S. Residential Bacteria on Surfaces in the Food Industry and Their Implications for Food Safety and Quality. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, (2017); 16(5): 1022–1041.
7. Safarpoor DF, Gandomi H, Akhondzadeh Basti A, Misaghi A, Rahimi E. Genotypic and phenotypic characterization of antibiotic resistance of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from hospital food. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control, (2017); 6(1): 104.
8. Umaru G, Kwaga J, Bello M, Raji M, Maitala Y. 2016. Antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from fresh cow milk in settled Fulani herds in Kaduna Sttate, Nigeria. Bulletin of Animal Health and Production in Africa, (2016); 64(1): 173–182.
9. Turner NA, Sharma-Kuinkel BK,Maskarinec SA,Eichenberger EM, Shah PP, Carugati M, Holland TL, Fowler VG. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: An overview of basic and clinical research. Nature Reviews Microbiology, (2019); 17(4): 203–218.
10. Papadopoulos P, Angelidis AS, Papadopoulos T, Kotzamanidis C, Zdragas A, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) in bulk tank milk, livestock and dairy-farm personnel in north-central and north-eastern Greece: Prevalence, characterization and genetic relatedness. Food Microbiology, (2019); 84(1): 1–7.
11. Decline V, Effendi MH, Rahmaniar RP, Yanestria SM, Harijani N. Profile of antibiotic-resistant and presence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from nasal swab of dogs from several animal clinics in Surabaya,

Indonesia. International Journal of One Health, (2020); 6(1): 90–94.

12. Yunita MN, Effendi MH, Rahmaniar RP, Arifah S, Yanestria SM. Identification of *spa* gene for strain typing of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolated from nasal swab of dogs. Biochemical and Cellular Archives, (2020); 20(1): 2999–3004.

13. Khairullah AR, Ramandininto SC, Effendi MH. A Review of Livestock-Associated Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (LA-MRSA) on Bovine Mastitis. Systematic Review in Pharmacy, (2020); 11(7), 172–183.

14. Hermans K, Devriese LA, Haesebrouck F. Staphylococcus. In Pathogenesis of Bacterial Infections in Animals, 3rd Eds. Blackwell Publishing: Hoboken, NJ, USA, (2004).

15. Marshall BM, Levy SB. Food animals and antimicrobials: Impacts on human health. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, (2011), 24(4): 718–733.

16. Le Loir Y, Baron F, Gautier M. *Staphylococcus aureus* and food poisoning. Genetics and Molecular Research, (2003), 2(1): 63–76.

17. Ahmed HF, Fehlhaber K, Gafer JA, Ibrahim SA, El-Magd MA. Genotypes and Virulence Factors of *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Bovine Subclinical Mastitis. Global Veterinaria, (2016), 17(5): 476–481.

18. Vestergaard M, Frees D, Ingmer H. Antibiotic resistance and the MRSA problem. Microbiology Spectrum, (2019), 7(2): GPP3-0057-2018.

19. Neopane P, Nepal HP, Hrestha R, Uehara O, Abiko Y. In vitro biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from wounds of hospital-admitted patients and their association with antimicrobial resistance. International Journal of General Medicine, (2018), 11(1): 25–32.

20. Yanestria SM, Dameanti FNAEP, Musayannah BG, Pratama JWA, Witaningrum AM, Effendi MH, Ugbo EN. Antibiotic resistance pattern of Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from broiler farm environment in Pasuruan district, Indonesia. Biodiversitas, (2022); 23(9): 4460–4465.

21. Wibisono FJ, Sumiarto B, Untari T, Effendi MH, Permatasari DA, Witaningrum AM. Molecular identification of CTX gene of extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* on layer chicken in Blitar, Indonesia. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, (2021); 31(4): 954–959.

22. Badger-Emeka LI, Emeka PM, Dibua UME. Plasmid profile of multi antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from diabetic wounds from patients at Nsukka, South-eastern, Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology, (2014); 13(43): 4148–4154.

23. Gomes F, Henriques M. Control of bovine mastitis: old and recent therapeutic approaches. Current Microbiology, (2016); 72(4): 377–382.

24. Henton MM, Eagar HA, Swan GE, Vuuren VM. Antibiotic management and resistance in livestock production. South African Medical Journal, (2011); 101(8 Pt 2): 583–586.

25. Khairullah AR, Sudjarwo SA, Effendi MH, Ramandininto SC, Gelolodo MA, Widodo A, Riwu KHP, Kurniawati DA, Rehman S. Profile of Multidrug Resistance and Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) on dairy cows and risk factors from farmer. Biodiversitas, (2022); 23(6): 2853–2858.

26. Ramandinianto SC, Khairullah AR, Effendi MH. *Mec*A gene and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolated from dairy farms in East Java, Indonesia. Biodiversitas, 2020; 21(8): 3562–3568.

27. Jans C, Merz A, Johler S, Younan M, Tanner SA, Kaindi DWM. East and West African milk products are reservoirs for human and livestock-associated *Staphylococcus aureus*. Food Microbiology, (2017); 65(1): 64–73.

28. Johler S, Weder D, Bridy C, Huguenin MC, Robert L, Hummerjohann, J. Outbreak of staphylococcal food

poisoning among children and staff at a Swiss boarding school due to soft cheese made from raw milk. Journal of Dairy Science, (2015); 98(5): 2944–2948.

29. Getahun K, Kelay B, Bekana M, Lobago F. Bovine Mastitis and Antibiotic Resistance Patterns in Selalle Smallholder Dairy Farms, Central Ethiopia. Tropical Animal Health and Production, (2008); 40(4): 261–268.

30. Haftu R, Taddele H, Gugsa G, Kalayou S. 2012. Prevalence, Bacterial Causes, and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile of Mastitis Isolates from Cows in Large-Scale Dairy Farms of Northern Ethiopia. Tropical Animal Health and Production, (2012); 44(7): 1765–1771.

31. Peton V, Le Loir Y. *Staphylococcus aureus* in veterinary medicine. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, (2014); 21(1): 602–615.

32. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey R, Carmeli Y, Falagas M, Giske C. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistat bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, (2012); 18(3): 268–281.

33. Effendi MH, Oktavianto A, Hastutiek P. Tetracycline Resistance Gene In *Streptococcus agalactiae* Isolated From Bovine Subclinical Mastitis In Surabaya, Indonesia. Philippine Journal of Veterinary Medicine, (2018); 55(SI): 115–120.

34. Effendi MH, Hisyam MAM, Hastutiek P, Tyasningsih W. Detection of coagulase gene in *Staphylococcus aureus* from several dairy farms in East Java, Indonesia, by polymerase chain reaction. Veterinary World, (2019); 12(1): 68–71.

35. CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 30th ed. CLSI supplement M100. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA, USA, (2020).

36. Haag AF, Fitzgerald JR, Penadés JR. *Staphylococcus aureus* in Animals. Microbiology Spectrum, (2019); 7(3): 1-19.

37. Ahmad-Mansour N, Loubet P, Pouget C, Dunyach-Remy C, Sotto A, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* Toxins: An Update on Their Pathogenic Properties and Potential Treatments. Toxins (Basel), (2021); 13(10), 677.

38. Khan A, Durrani AZ, Yousaf A. Epidemiology and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Cattle of Pothohar Region, Pakistan. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, (2019); 39(03): 438–442.

39. Sadat A, Shata RR, Farag AMM, Ramadan H, Alkhedaide A, et al. Prevalence and Characterization of PVL-Positive *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Raw Cow's Milk. Toxins, (2022); 14(2): 97.

40. Zhao X, Yuan X, Hu M, Zhang Y, Li L, et al. Prevalence and characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bulk tank milk in Shandong dairy farms. Food Control, (2021); 125(1): 1–7.

41. Javed MU, Ijaz M, Fatima Z, Anjum AA, Aqib AI, Ali MM, Rehman A, Ahmed A, Ghaffar A. 2021. Frequency and antimicrobial susceptibility of methicillin and vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from bovine milk. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, (2021); 41(4): 463–468.

42. Khairullah AR, Kurniawan SC, Sudjarwo SA, Effendi MH, Widodo A, et al. Kinship analysis of *mec*A gene of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from milk and risk factors from the farmers in Blitar, Indonesia. Veterinary World, (2024); 17(1): 216–225.

43. Gebremedhin EZ, Ararso AB, Borana BM, Kelbesa KA, Tadese ND, et al. Isolation and Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* from Milk and Milk Products, Associated Factors for Contamination, and Their Antibiogram in Holeta, Central Ethiopia. Veterinary Medicine International, (2022); 2022(1): 6544705.

44. Putra GDS, Khairullah AR, Effendi MH, Lazuardi M, Kurniawan SC, et al. Detection of multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from dairies milk in Medowo Village of Kediri District, Indonesia. Biodiversitas, (2023); 24(1): 423–430.

45. Waruwu YKK, Khairullah AR, Effendi MH, Lukiswanto BS, Afnani DA, et al. Detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and multidrug resistance isolated from cats in animal clinic at Sidoarjo District, East Java, Indonesia. Biodiversitas, (2023); 24(1): 106–111.

46. Paramasivam R, Gopal DR, Dhandapani R, Subbarayalu R, Elangovan MP, et al. Is AMR in Dairy Products a Threat to Human Health? An Updated Review on the Origin, Prevention, Treatment, and Economic Impacts of Subclinical Mastitis. Infection and Drug Resistance, (2023); 16(1): 155–178.

47. Vivas R, Barbosa AAT, Dolabela SS, Jain S. Multidrug-resistant bacteria and alternative methods to control them: an overview. Microbial Drug Resistance, (2019); 25(6): 890–908.

48. Rafailidis PI, Kofteridis D. Proposed amendments regarding the definitions of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant bacteria. Expert Review of Anti-Infective Therapy, (2021); 20(2): 139–146.

49. Tyasningsih W, Effendi MH, Budiarto B, Syahputra IR. Antibiotic Resistance to *Staphylococcus aureus* and Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) Isolated from Dairy Farms in Surabaya, Indonesia. Indian Veterinary Journal, (2019); 96(11): 27–31.

50. Bangieva D, Rusev V. Risk from *Staphylococcus aureus* in informally marketed raw cow milk. Food Science and Applied Biotechnology, (2019); 2(1): 74–80.

51. Pérez-Boto D, D'Arrigo M, García-Lafuente A, Bravo D, Pérez-Baltar A, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* in the Processing Environment of Cured Meat Products. Foods, (2023); 12(11): 2161.

52. Altaf M, Ijaz M, Iqbal MK. Molecular Characterization of Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and Associated Risk Factors with the Occurrence of Goat Mastitis. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, (2020); 40(1): 1–6.

53. Salam MA, Al-Amin MY, Salam MT, Pawar JS, Akhter N, Rabaan AA, Alqumber MAA. Antimicrobial Resistance: A Growing Serious Threat for Global Public Health. Healthcare (Basel), (2023); 11(13): 1946.

54. Rungelrath V, DeLeo FR. *Staphylococcus aureus*, Antibiotic Resistance, and the Interaction with Human Neutrophils. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling, (2021); 34(6): 452–470.

55. Manyi-Loh C, Mamphweli S, Meyer E, Okoh A. Antibiotic Use in Agriculture and Its Consequential Resistance in Environmental Sources: Potential Public Health Implications. Molecules, (2018); 23(4): 795.

56. Tuon FF, Suss PH, Telles JP, Dantas LR, Borges NH, Ribeiro VST. Antimicrobial Treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* Biofilms. Antibiotics (Basel), (2023); 12(1): 87.

57. Rahmaniar RP, Yunita MN, Effendi MH, Yanestria SM. Encoding gene for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolated from nasal swab of dogs. Indian Veterinary Journal, (2020); 97(2): 37–40.

58. Cheng WN, Han SG. Bovine mastitis: risk factors, therapeutic strategies, and alternative treatments - A review. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, (2020); 33(11): 1699–1713.

59. Dego OK, Pacha PA, Gillespie BE, Pighetti GM. Experimental *Staphylococcus aureus* Mastitis Infection Model by Teat Dipping in Bacterial Culture Suspension in Dairy Cows. Animals (Basel), (2020); 10(5): 751.

60. Tyasningsih W, Ramandinianto SC, Ansharieta R, Witaningrum AM, Permatasari DA, Wardhana DK, Effendi MH, Ugbo EN. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* isolated from raw milk in East Java, Indonesia. Veterinary World, (2022); 15(8): 2021–2028.

61. Massawe HF, Mdegela RH, Kurwijila LR. Antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from milk produced by smallholder dairy farmers in Mbeya Region, Tanzania. International Journal of One Health, (2019); 5(1): 31–37.